VEES NO. 1

SOCCER VEES CAPTURE OUAA TITLE

"We're number 1! We're number 1!"

These cries of joy could be heard resounding from residence to residence as many Laurentian students took time out from their late Sunday night studies to party with the Laurentian soccer team. It was definitely a time to celebrate as the Vees had overcome the difficult task of having to win two playoff games on the road by defeating Western 1-0 on Thursday and then edging the first-place Carleton Ravens by a score of 4-2 in a shootout on Sunday to capture the OUAA crown.

As they had done all year long, the Vees certainly didn't take an easy road en route to their provincial championship. Paul Smith headed in a crossing pass on Thursday with just under five minutes remaining to give Laurentian their victory over the Mustangs. the teams played a tight defensive contest with both teams showing signs of nervousness.

This trend continued right on through to Sunday as Carleton and Laurentian battled through ninety minutes of regulation time and two 10 minute overtime periods which left them no further than where they had started: deadlocked at 0 - 0!

It was then on to a shootout, the ultimate test in soccer action, pitting the powerful and deadly accuracy of some of the OUAA's best shooters against the catlike reflexes of two very determined goaltenders. In the end, it was a combination of brilliant netminding by the Vee's Brendan Tennant and some accurate shots by Denis Kavaratzis, Martin Wigstol, Fred Juett and Marc LeBhouris that spelled victory for the Vees.

The Vees will have little time to bask in the glory of Sunday's victory however, as they must now prepare to host the CIAU Semi-final. On Sunday, November 6th at 1:00 p.m., Laurentian's soccer Vees will face either the University of Victoria or the University of British Columbia with a victory in this game setting the stage for a CIAU final here at Laurentian.

One can't help but editorialize at a time like this. On behalf of hundreds of Vees' fans that will be (or should be) lining the soccer pitch on Sunday, I wish Greg and his team all the best of luck and thank the entire team for the much-needed spirit that they have managed to add around campus! "Knock 'em dead on Sunday, guys!!"

LAMBDA

Volume 22, Number 8 | Laurentian University | Thursday, November 3, 1983

HOME—BREWING IT FOR YOUR SAVING PLEASURE

Peter Laurie
Reprinted from the Charlatan by Canadian University Press

Dinner was almost ready. "How about a beer?" asked my host. Tony opened us each a cold pint and set them down on the worn table top. It was late afternoon by this time, but still quite hot and the bottles were wet with condensation.

I took a long pull at mine. "Holy shit!" I exclaimed. "Is that ever good!" I turned the bottle around to have a look at the label.

There was no label. And as the truth hit home on me I turned to my friend and host with new respect as his face lit up with the quiet, slightly embarassed pride of the amateur artist.

"You like it?"

Three years and 2,400 miles now lie between me and the Vancouver Island homestead of 39-year-old Tony Berniaz, professor of organic chemistry turned back-to-the-lander, tree planter and home brewer extraordinaire. Nevertheless, those two pints of dark ale I savored while dinner cooked outside over a low fire were enough to convince me that home brewing was definitely worth looking into.

I now make my own beer; and while I am still a long ways from matching that lovely dark stuff Tony produces I can clearly see the advantages of shunning the local beer store for the art of the underground brewmaster.

First of all, the price is right. A case of 24 now retails for $13.45 plus a $2.40 deposit. Three-fifths of the retail price goes straight to taxes; another fifth covers the beer company's advertising, distribution and profits; the remaining fifth is—you guessed it—the actual cost of making your favourite brew (this information comes to you courtesy of a salesperson for Labatt's who, for obvious reasons, prefers to remain anonymous).

The point is obvious: you can make your own beer for a hell of a lot less than you're paying. Al Feldman, a Carleton industrial design student who started making his own last year, says his cost for 24 beers is about $4.50.

Yet the 'small is beautiful' economics of home-brewed beer aren't everything. The real advantage is the taste. Yes, you, even you, can eventually make a beer that will put old John L. or Karl what's-his-face to shame.

A quick peek inside any commercial beer outles reveals a huge selection of beers. However, nearly all of them are manufactured by the Big Three (Carling O'Keefe, Molson's and Labatt's) and the biggest difference between them—sorry, beer fans—are their label. "TV commercials to the contrary, what is found inside their bottles is essentially the same product," says Frank Appleton, an ex-quality control supervisor for O'Keefe turned renegade home braumeister. Indeed, most 'corporate' beers tread cautiously between any extremes in taste or consistency with the unfortunate result that, says Tonly Berniaz, "they reduce every characteristic that makes beer —beer."

Not so if you make your own. Strong or weak, sweet or bitter — it's all up to you. (Granted, my own first brew was an unqualified disaster that tasked like a hydrid of apple juice and stale urine. Live and learn.) Given a little luck and a little patience, beermaking is usually as simple as following a recipe.

Beer is made by letting a sugary solution of malt extract (called "wort") ferment with yeast in a closed container. Malt is simply barley that has been roasted. Yeast is actually a live microscopic organism which not only converts sugar to alcohol, but is also

[Con't on Page 8]

INSIDE THIS ISSUE:

THE SGA PRESENTS

TO KILL A PARROTT

CORRECTION

Re: New Name for LU. Stinks

Dr Henry Best was misquoted; the actual quote should have read: "I **don't** think that the change is necessary thing, when we have 15,000 graduates from Laurentian University, whether they did their work in Sudbury, Sault Ste. Marie, North Bay, Hearst, or by other campuses or by television.

Editorial

by Stephen Glass

The bodies of two women killed by security forces and dumped on a roadside.

Arrest means execution in El Salvador.

So, the Americans have saved another nation from the horros of self-determination. We can only hope that the Granadians will express the appropriate level of gratitude. If I sound cynical and anti-American perhaps it is because my memory is too unforgiving.

In 1954, the United States engineered the overthrow of a **democratically elected** government they claimed was Marxist. In the ensuing twenty years of U.S. backed dictatorship 30,000 peasants have been slaughtered by the military and government supported death squads. I have seen the terror of genocide in Guatemala with my own eyes, and talked with people whose friends had been murdered because they called for an end to injustice.

In 1973 the United States engineered the overthrow of the democratically elected government of Chile. President Allende "committed suicide" by shooting himself in the back fifteen times. During the first months of the U.S. backed coup, thousands of people were killed by government forces, 2,500 "disappeared" and thousands more fled the country. In recent weeks, almost the entire nation has participated in protests against their suffering.

In the last three years, the government of El Salvador has received several hundred millions of dollars of U.S. military aid and training. During that same period, about 35,000 civilians have been killed—the vast majority by the government. To attempt to follow the road of democratic change in El Salvador is to sentence yourself to death.

In 1979, the people of Nicaragua rebelled against 40 years of U.S. supported oppression. Every level of society participated in the revolution and paid a heavy price to gain victory—40,000 dead in the final twelve months of civil war. Today, the United States openly trains and equips the very people who tortured and oppressed the Nicaraguan nation prior to the revolution.

We could go on and on. The United States of America does not believe in self determination or human rights or democracy anymore than the Soviet Union or Albania.

When I hear Ronald Reagan mouth the words "freedom and democracy", my stomach turns, my fists clench, and I weep for all the innocent thousands of the world who have been murdered for America's brand of freedom.

Letters to the Editor

Dear Editor:

Education is a basic resource that we all theoretically have the right to access. It has been this provincial governments's policy to restrict and destroy educational accessibility to its people by cutting funding and closing schools.

More and more we have seen that education is becoming the burden of the individual rather than the right of the individual. With decreased funding from OSAP, students are finding many more extraneous pressures on them from financial woes. This indicates that a trend of eliticism is becoming the norm within our university community.

With the release of the Parrott report, an attempt is being made to restructure Laurentian into a blasé "Champlain University". It will unify the four campuses' administatively but will undermine autonomy and student representation. It will also control funding from within the new hierarchy, removing accountability of course offerings from the present government.

Historically, these campuses were set up and designated because of expressed needs and demands based around regional considerations such as travelling distance, technological needs, and francophone needs re: post secondary education. These needs have been deemed as secondary by this provincial government even though they are primary for the users of this service.

The province is ignoring accountability in this matter and will use this new administration to cut back further on the limited services they currently offer.

Is it our right to have acces to our education or is it a privilege controlled by government? Do we have a say in this? or it this mere tokenism? Where will this control of educational resources lead to if policies remain the same? Do you have a question you want answered? Ask those questions and get some answers!

Helmuth Scherzinger

Dear Sir:

First it was Murray Shaefer and some exotic pseudo egyptian music. performed outside in a Toronto ravine near the Ontario Science Centre.

Then it was Pat Metheny and his pseudo jazz outfit.

I'm beginning to think you have a pseudo record critic at Lambda. And what's worse, to think it's my own sonny boy who's doing this!

For heaven's sake, surely the gods of Manitou Road can lead you in the direction of some real music: How about reviewing the latest Dolly Parton & Kenny Rogers outing? How about keeping us up to date on what the Dead Kennedy's are up to? How about the latest reggae outbursts from Grenada? How about reviewing some music that's here and now?

Put your convoluted typewriter to rest, go and buy some decent records — or consult with your residence neighbors — and get with it!

Yours sincerely
Hilarius Bookbinder I

LAMBDA

Lambda Publications is the student-operated newspaper at Laurentian University in Sudbury, Ontario. While partially funded by the Students' General Association, **Lambda** is autonomous from other University organizations, both student and administrative.

Lambda is published weekly each Thursday during the academic year, save holidays. 3,000 copies are printed and distributed on the Laurentian Campus.

Lambda Publications is a member of the Canadian University Press. As such, it is democratically controlled by its staff. Staff meetings are held every Friday morning at 11:00 a.m. in the **Lambda** office, Room G-1, Student Street. Membership in **Lambda Publications** is open to all members of the Laurentian University community and is contingent upon three published contributions during the fall term, or five published contributions during the academic year.

The opinions expressed in the copy of this newspaper are not necessarily those of **Lambda Publications**.

Letters and submissions must be double-spaced and should be **typed**. Illegible copy will **not** be accepted. Anonimity is available upon request, but all submissions and advertising must be in the **Lambda** office on the Friday (before 4:00) prior to the Thursday of desired publication. Submissions made personally to the **Lambda** office may be accepted late, but only if **Lambda** is notified beforehand.

Lambda is open Monday to Friday 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon and at other irregular hours. Members of the Laurentian community are encouraged to drop into Lambda with their encouragement, ideas, suggestions, submissions or just a friendly visit.

Editor	Stephen Glass	Sports Editor	Cheryl Swarbrick
Production Managers	Pat Tobin/Donna Mayer	Photo Editor	Jane Rudge
Business Manager	Terry O'Grady	Office Manager	Karen Ryckman
Circulation Manager	Ken Collins	Typesetters	Marlene McIntoch Sandra Narduzzi

Special thanx
Patty Peebles, The Hawk, Ramms, Helmuth Scherzinger, Hilarious Bookbinder 1, Peter Lauries, Randy Pascal, Tim Monaghan, and Swampi.

The
"QUIZ BEE"
is coming

Look for it in the Great Hall and Science II Cafeterias.

Pick up a ticket and be Eligible To win a

'Trivial Pursuit' Game

One A week For Three Weeks

Starts Monday November 7th

Details in the Great Hall and Science Cafeterias

Your Friendly Campus Caterer

TO KILL A PARROTT

Editor's Note: The following is a copy of the entire brief developed by the External Relations sub-committee of the SGA Council. Since the Parrott Report and its potential effects are of central concern to all students, it is recommended reading for all students.

Faculty and Staff: We see three major problems concerning the rights of faculty and staff of the proposed university:

a) We have stated that the faculty and staff of the university should have the right to refuse transfer. Although the Parrott report does not deal with this directly, the whole tenor of the report would seem to indicate that this is not likely. We do not feel that faculty and staff should be shuffled all over the Northeast without their consent.

b) In addition, we have stated that existing tenure should be maintained. The Parrott report does not deal with this point either. We feel that to remove existing tenure from faculty would be undesirable and would promote an incredible amount of tension between faculty and administration, and that the losers in this case would be the faculty and students.

c) As called for in our brief, we support the right of collective bargaining for faculty and staff. The Parrott report does not deal with union-management matters and we feel that the chaos ensuing from suddenly slapping together all of the faculty and staff unions and associations would be tremendous, not to mention costly for both the university and individual members of those unions and associations.

Administration: We see seven major problems concerning the administration and governance of the proposed university:

a) We believe that the powers given to the president of the university are immense. While the president of the university does need to exercise a fair amount of power in a supposedly decentralized university, the powers suggested in the report are too great.

b) The system of representation on the Board of Governors and campus councils as presented in our brief was quite equitable and represented every constituency in the new university as fairly as possible under the circumstances. The representation of students on these bodies as proposed by Parrott is even worse than that suggested by Bournes. Staff and faculty representations are severely curtailed and local representation on the Board and Senate from the affiliates is a farce. The Sudbury based administraion and Laurentian campus members of the Boards and Senate far out weigh affiliate campus members and any protestation by Laurentian University that powers will be lost to the affiliates is the worst kind of hyperbole.

c) Our brief suggested that in order to terminate any course or programme at any campus that the Senate vote by a two-thirds majority to do so. In addition, we suggested that there be a written guarantee in the University Act that the all four campuses continue to exist. The report only says that the four campuses will exist **initially** and says that the Board of Governors can make financial decisions on both of these, presumably with a simple majority.

d) The powers of the Campus Councils, as suggested by Parrott are not meaningful. It is continually mentioned that all the planning will be based on the principal of a central administrative structure and regional perspective. Clearly, local autonomy will be lost.

e) With the proposed system of representation, local input will be minimal and the specific needs of the community will be met minimally as well.

f) Although we have supported and we recognize the more equitable treatment of francophones in the report, we realize that "equitable " does not mean "equal" in the Parrott report. While francophone representation in the governing body and administrative body of the university is increased, this will not get one francophone student more to attend the university unless there is also a consequent rise in the funding for French language courses and programmes.

g) Our brief suggested that existing Native Studies be maintained, promoted and expanded with demand. Although the Parrott Report recommends that one member of the Board of Governors be a native, this, like the francophones, will not attract one single native student more, unless funding is increased to expand courses and to allow for bursaries to Native students.

Academics: We have three major concerns dealing with academics in the proposed university.

a) With inevitable cutbacks, we are sure to end up in a position where faculty will be let go on the basis of seniority (or lack thereof). The other situation that apoears inevitable is that the facultv will have little or no say in where they teach their course. This is not stated in the report, but is implied..

b) There is no indication as to the duplication of courses. It is felt that there should be duplication of courses, for without duplication, it is quite possible that students would have to go to a different campus in order to complete his/her degree.

c) Another problem that is present is that of placements. Again, with inevitable cutbacks, one or more campuses will be closed, forcing the students to attend other campuses. It will become more difficult to get into limited enrolment courses (i.e., Social Work and Nursing). This could result in students having to stay for spring and/or summer sessions to do their placements. At the same time, they would have to pay a course fee to do their placement.

Government: We see seven major problems with the Parrott Report in relation to the government.

a) The first is that concerning monetary issues. Whereas the new university is supposed to save money, it looks as though it will actually be more costly. This will lead to cutbacks in services (i.e., library hours) and possibly increased tuition fees. The new bureaucracy will be incredibly expensive, both in terms of time and money. In addition, there is to be no increased funding per student, which again means more cutbacks to try and balance things out.

b) On page 19 of the Parrott Report, it is stated that there will **initially** be four autonomous campuses. The key work here is **initially**. If the new university were formed, how long would there be four campuses? We feel that in reality, there would be four autonomous campuses for a short period only.

c) Another possible result of the implementation of this report is that of regionalization. The whole university and college system of Ontario could become amalgamated by regions. We feel that if this model of cutbacks were successful, they could become implemented throughout the rest of Ontario. The implementation of this report could set a procedure.

d) We feel that the money that would be involved in the start up cost could be better used to offset the present deficits (within the existing system) and to be used for ongoing casts within the present system. It is felt that this could be more useful that spending money on a new system that many people are not happy with.

e) Access studies have been carried out on a province-wide basis, but they have not been done for the proposed university. This, we feel is important. We feel that seeing as Northeastern Ontario has the lowest participation rate, research should be done as to why this is so and how it can be changed. We feel that this should be done before a new university is set up, and again every five years thereafter to review the present situation.

f) By changing the name of the university, the Aluni end up with a degree from a defunct university. Lauretian is now becoming an established university. It has taken a great deal of time and effort in doing so, and a change in name would mean all that work was in vain. The other point is that there are already three other institutions within Ontario, Quebec and Vermont named Champlain.

g) We feel that the government is lowering the priority of education. They are sacrificing academic excellence for dollars. This can be seen by comparing the percentage of provincial expenditure on university education between 1972-1973 and 1982-1983. The percentage dropped from 6.6% to 5.2%.

We feel that the university that has been proposed is basically a smokescreen for future cutbacks. The Board of Governors will take the rap rather than the government. The same applies for campus closures. While it will appear to be the Board, it will in reality be the government.





SPORTS

Women's Field Hockey

by Patty Peebles

The Laurentian Field Hockey Team travelled to Toronto this weekend to compete for the first time ever in the OWIAA finals. They earned this right by placing third in the regular season OWIAA west standings behind York University (ranked 5th in the nation) and the University of McGill (ranked 10th in the nation). Both of these teams had to work hard to narrowly beat our squad by 1 and 2 goal margins in each of the two meetings over the season.

As a result, Laurentian was slated to meet Waterloo (ranked 4th in the nation) in the first round of the tournament. Laurentian played a tough first half with plenty of action up and down the field. Waterloo struck first and then again before we came back to pull within one on a goal by Jackie Balleny.

Unfortunately with 30 seconds left in the first half, Waterloo got their third goal on a controversial play, and they never looked back. Our team tried in vain to shake off doom but Waterloo's experience and ball control of both ends of the field was just too much to overcome. Balleny scored again on a penalty flick to make the final score Waterloo 7 Laurentian 2.

This game was the last of over half of the team as defenders Gail Thornber, Nicky Lafontaine, Bobbi Jo Hanson; forwards Louise Dube, Fran Proulx and Patty Peebles are either graduating or ineligible to blay next year.

This year's team was one of the best we have ever had and I feel I speak on behalf of the team in giving a great big "Thank You" to the person who we owe it all to, our coach Pat Pickard. Her time, dedication and most importantly patience is greatly appreciated by all.

Men's Intramural Basketball

Entries are now open for men's intramural basketball. Games will be played on Tuesday and Thursday night in the Ben Avery Gym Teams must have a minimum of seven players and not have more than the twelve player maximum. Teams are also asked to specify in what division they wish to compete: A (competitive, B (recreational).

Entries will be accepted at the Physical Education Services Desk until Monday November 7. Action begins Tuesday, November 15.

For my information contact:
John Metcalfe 675-1151 ext.507
James Kennedy 566-0655

Women's Intramural Football

In round IV action on Monday, October 24 the Penthouse Sweets tied with U of S in an action-packed game.

Michele Scarphon, Penny Pride and Cheryl Hill scored for Penthouse Sweets, Arlene Bainai and Helene Philbin scored for U of S. Both teams worked very hard but neither team could get past the 14-14 tie. By the way, Lise, we do not mean it literally when we say "sacrifice that body", Try and remember that next time, ok? Nice hands, Judy. Where did you learn to catch?

In the second game of the evening, the Ulcerette Uglies defeated the Huntington No Names with a score of 15-12. Peg Smith and Cammy Koshowski scored for the Uglies. Nice win Peg. Maureen Woods got both touchdowns for the No Names. Good work, Moe.

The final game of the evening say the Lee Lee Pads tie 6-6 with Huntington Ne Nees. Touchdown honours go to Heather Nugent for the Lee Lee Pads and Debbie Kempney for the Nee Nees. Way to work, girls.

Stay tuned for more exciting results.

TAKE ACTION ON OVER-DRINKING.

"I like the taste of a cold beer on a hot day, but I certainly don't think you have to get the gang together with a couple of cases of beer just to celebrate the fact you've had a bit of exercise."

JOHN WOOD
OLYMPIC SILVER MEDALLIST

Canada

Health and Welfare Canada — Santé et Bien-être social Canada

DAVE GUTSHER: Ht. 6'1" Wt. 180 lbs.
This second year Phys. Ed. student proved to be a pleasant surprise for coach Zorbas. Dave led the Vee's In scoring last season in his striker position. His aggressive style and adeptness in the air combine to make Dave a tremendous scoring threat.



KEITH BRIDGE: Ht. 6'1" Wt. 170 lbs.
A native of Victoria, British Columbia, this 4th year Sports Administration student can be found in the sweeper position assisting his defensive partners. Keith played his senior soccer in British Columbia for Victoria Athletics (formerly London Boxing Club) 1975 Canadian Champions. In 1981 Keith collected O.U.A.A. 1st All Star Team status again, as well as C.I.A.U.

A Retrospective Look at Voyageur Soccer

by Cheryl Swarbrick

Over the years, Laurentian University has had a winning tradition in every facet of varsity athletics. From basketball to hockey, at all levels of competition, we have achieved success. It is no different for men's varsity soccer. For the last 16 years, Laurentian has fielded a team in the rigorous and demanding sport of soccer.

The roots of the present-day team stem back to the year, 1968, when three hard-working, persistent individuals decided that Laurentian needed a team. It was felt that since soccer is the world's greatest sport, Sudbury should participate too. If not for Mario Anselmo, Bill Salter and Pierre Lebrun, soccer would have remained a dream. Their driving determination paid off and with the aid of Peter Mince, a quick team was organized for action. However, their inexperience was evident, and they were eliminated from the Ontario Intercollegiate Athletic Association (OIAA) tournament. The team was plagued with administrative difficulties; for instance there were no lockers or sweaters for the team.

In 1968-69, the second year of existence, there was great enthusiasm and a desire to win. It wasn't until the next season, that the Voyageurs began to show promise of great things to come. This was largely due to the acquisition of Greg Zorbas.

He had heard the team required direction and he assumed the role of Player/Coach. The year was fruitful and the Voyageurs captured OIAA championships. Greg's determination to succeed was evident in his rigorous training schedule that lasted for two and one-half months. No players complained.

In 1970-71, they recaptured the OIAA championship, but lost out in the Canadian Intercollegiate University (CIAU) tournament to Memorial University. Laurentian seemed to have developed solidly, since its founders started the club a few short years before.

The dawning of the 1971-72 season heralded the coming of Dr. Barry Ward, a well-known soccer coach. Greg Zorbas assumed the role of captain and assistant coach. The young Voyageur team captured the Canadian championship, and became known as a force to be reckoned with during future seasons.

The Vees suffered a setback the next season. A large portion of the players graduated and a lack of summer recruiting resulted in a dismal performance. Also, internal problems in the area of coaching caused much turbulence in the team. As a result, Greg was called upon to act as the onfield playing coach.

The next season, 1973-74, the Vees had their fight back, tough and proud. The strenuous practices Greg put his team through paid off with another Ontario University championship. At the Canadian finals in St. John's, the team won the consolation trophy.

As with a couple of years ago, the next two seasons were rebuilding years. Large gaps in the team had to be filled, however, the ethic, 'never say die' was maintained. Recruiting during the summer months paid off and every position was filled. Now all that was required was experience.

It wasn't until 1976 that Laurentian's luck was to change, with the arrival of Oscar Albuquerque and Derek Hilton. These two names would become very popular in the University circuit. In their first season, both were named OUAA allstars.

The next year, Greg changed his pre-season schedule, to allow for early competition. It was though that these games would bring the team together. It was all for naught. Laurentian failed to gain a playoff berth. A bright note, on a disappointing season, was the naming of Oscar Albuquerque and Luciano Perfetti to the allstar team.

The team's luck changed the following year, as the players' skills were perfected. The superb coaching skills of Greg Zorbas were also a crucial factor as the Vees claimed another OUAA title.

In fact Greg Zorbas, the dedicated, soccer enthusiast has been the key factor in Laurentian's success. His accumulated statistics are very impressive, since his inaugral year of 1969. Under his guidance, 8 Ontario titles (perhaps another one will be added with a win over Carleton on October 30th) have come this way. In 1071, the Canadian Univesity championship was captured by the efforts of a young determined team.

As a player, Zorbas amassed a total of 45 goals in 28 games. As a coach, his lifetime record stands at (including this season's games up to Thursday's win over Western) 126 wins, 281 losses and 24 ties.

Good luck in the future years Greg, continue in the winning tradition associated with the Laurentian experience.

LAMBDA Looks At The Soccer Vees

The Soccer Vee's are OUAA champions again. Here is a chance to get to know some of the players, coaches who will be participating in the CIAU semi-finals, Sunday. Unfortunately there were not team photographs taken of the following members: Jamie Armstrong (Sault Ste. Marie), Dan Denes (also Sault Ste. Marie), Ben Farrella (Brockville), Dave Filion (Alexandria), Tom Pizolinas (Toronto).

Congratulations are extended to the next four individuals who were named to the OUAA allstar team, due to their excellent play over the course of the season and he OUAA championship rounds. These are: Peter Pinheiro (midfielder), Keith Bridge (sweeper), Fred Juett (defender — midfielder), Lane McAdam (defender). Also Greg Zorbas has won, during his stay at Laurentian, a total of 6 OUAA championships.





BRENDAN TENNANT
Ht. 6'4" Wt. 195 lbs.

Originally born in South Africa, Brendan moved to Canada at age 15. His intimidating size and his agility combine to make him an excellent goal keeper. This past summer, Brendan played soccer for the Hamilton Steelers of the National Soccer League. Coach Zorbas is looking forward to a tremendous season with Brendan between the pipes.

MARTEN WIGSTOL: Ht. 6'3" Wt. 175 lbs.
This is the year of the "weegie". Originally born in Sudbury, Marten has grown up in Kristiasand, Norway since he was three. Marten demonstrates the European flair for soccer through his exceptional ball handling and control. After his two successful years of playing for the Vee's he has matured to become one of the premier C.I.A.U. offensive stars.

Lane McAdam
Ht. 5'9" Wt. 155 lbs.

Known for his two way play, Lane is recognized around the league for his defensive capabilities. Playing in his 5th season for the Voyageurs, Lane is Captain and his tremendous leadership qualities have played a key role to the team's success. He was chosen to the 81-82 & 82-83 OUAA 1st All-Star Team.

Jack Daniel Distillery Named a National Historic Place by the United States Government

AT THE JACK DANIEL DISTILLERY, we have everything we need to make our whiskey uncommonly smooth.

Our own iron-free water

We have daily deliveries of the very finest grain American farmers can grow. A stream of pure, iron-free water (ideal for whiskey-making) flowing close by our door. And a unique way of smoothing out whiskey by filtering it for days through ten feet of finely-packed charcoal. Thanks to all these things—and some others too—we predict a pleasurable moment when you discover the smooth-sippin' rareness of Jack Daniel's Tennessee Whiskey.



If you'd like a booklet about Jack Daniel's Whiskey, write us a letter here in Lynchburg, Tennessee 37352, U.S.A.

WHERE HAS ALL THE MONEY GONE???

WE'LL NEVER KNOW FOR SURE

An audit of the financial operations of the 1982-83 SGA Council was cancelled at last week's meeting of the SGA on the recommendation of their accountant.

Christine Smith C.A., cited an imcomplete set of minutes, lack of documentation (eg. missing band contracts), and the difficulty of questioning last year's executive as the major obstacles to conducting a legal audit.

Smith stated that not only is it impossible to come up with an audit, it would take a great deal of work to even assemble sufficient documentation to issue a statement of denial.

Smith suggested it would be a better use of her time if her job description was changed from one of auditor to accountant so that she could assist this year's SGA Council in developing acceptable accounting procedures. She also recommended that the audit of this year's SGA include an audit of the Voyageur Pub since it is in fact a part of the SGA's financial operations.

In answer to a question from VP External Erskine on the feasibility of doing an audit of last year's pub operations, Smith replied that it would take her between one and two months to complete such an investigation and didn't recommend such a course of action.

A report of the accountant's review of the SGA's fiscal year ended March 31, 1983 will be published in Lambda as soon as it is made available by the SGA.

In other business, the SGA unanimously approved the donation of $1,000 to the library for the purchase of paperbacks. Last year's Council gave $800 for the same purpose. There was some debate on whether the SGA should give more or less; and a proposed amendment to give $1,500 failed to gain a seconder. The question of why the library couldn't afford to buy its own books was not asked.

The fourth motion presented called for SGA representatives who attended the Northern Students Conference to be reimbursed for meal expenses to the tune of $25 per representative. It was pointed out that the representatives had incurred expenses during the conference by going to the Pub for lunch, going to Peter Piper's for supper and then out to lunch the next day. The fact that SGA delegates had billited out of town delegates was cited as an additional cost requiring reimbursement. Delegates from out of town had been supplied with expense allowances. A unanimous vote approved the $25 expense allowance.

Disagreement over the best way to avoid conflicts between college and SGA-sponsored social events again boiled over. Basically, V.P. Social Eddy Goudreault claimed it was the responsibility of college reps to inform him of upcoming events being planned and he suggested conflicts would be avoided if they held their events on Saturday night instead of Fridays.

When a council rep suggested, "Maybe you should contact the college councils;" Eddy Goudreault replied; "My responsibility is here. It is the college rep's responsibility to get in touch with me. I plan my events six monts in advance... This is the only university that is so fragmented... I'm saying it again, I've said it three times; if you want a social event, get in touch with me. How often do I have to say it? Maybe I have to write it down or spell it out for you: A, B, C... I don't know."

VP External, Erskine attemped to offer his solution that college reps bring a list of events planned by their councils to the SGA Council meeting.

A major step towards developing a respectable SGA was taken with the formation of a sub-committee to study and recommend a constitution to the SGA Council for approval. Currently there are various versions, and ammended versions of the constitution, none of which can be invoked with absolute certainty.

Upcoming Events

English Department Seminars
November 3 — 7:30 p.m. "The Kensington and Spirit Pond Stones" and "The Rumesmiths of Northwest Europe"

Thorneloe Players — Auditions for **Death of a Salesman**, November 28, 29; 4:00 — 6:00 — Theatre Arts Portable.

Laurentian Film Society
Up In Smoke, Thurs. Nov. 10, 8:00 p.m.

RESEARCH PAPERS

14,789 to choose from — all subjects! Rush $2 for the current 306-page catalog. Custom research & thesis assistance also available.
Research, 11322 Idaho Ave., #206WA Los Angeles, CA 90025 (213) 477-8226.

ESSAYS typed with care. $0.10 a page. Phone any time. Mrs. Ruth MacDonald 675-6126.

A SUMMER IN OTTAWA

UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA 1984 UNDERGRADUATE SUMMER RESEARCH SCHOLARSHIPS

For students who foresee a career in research, the Summer Research Scholarships will provide research experience with leading Canadian scientific investigators in one of the fields listed below.

VALUE: $1,200 (minimum)/month. Travel allowance

DURATION: 3-4 months (May-August) 1984. Reasonable on-campus accommodation

REQUIREMENTS: Canadian or permanent resident. Permanent address outside of immediate Ottawa-Hull area (Ottawa/Hull residents should apply for a summer award, such as NSERC, which is tenable at the University of Ottawa). Full-time undergraduate students with excellent standing, priority given to 3rd year students (2nd year in the Province of Québec)

PARTICIPATING DEPARTMENTS

Anatomy
Biochemistry
Biology
Chemistry
Computer Science
ENGINEERING
Chemical
Civil
Electrical
Mechanical
Geography (physical)
•Geology
Kinanthropology
Mathematics
Microbiology
•Pharmacology
Physics
Physiology
Psychology (experimental)
Systems Science

• Cannot participate in 1984

Forward the required information together with your most recent and complete university transcript before November 15, 1983 to the address below. Also request a reference from one professor sent to the same address by November 15, 1983.

1984 Summer Research Scholarships, School of Graduate Studies and Research, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ont. K1N 6N5 Tel. (613) 231-5804

APPLICATION PROCEDURE:

Name ____________
Mailing Address ____________
city ____ province ____ postal code ____ Tel. (Area) ____
Permanent Address ____________
city ____ province ____ postal code ____ Tel. (Area) ____
Currently enrolled in ____________ department
Research field of interest ____________
(Attach a brief description)



Huntington Highlights

A belated welcome to all Huntington residents. Huntington has such a bee hive of activity, that we were more buzzed than worried about submitting this column.

The year at Huntington started off with a real bang! Huntington welcomed a large contingent of "stupid frosh", but it didn't take long before the almightly seniors whipped them into shape. The week was hilighted by Shanes mud wrestling expertise, Heather's bark rubbing prowess, Rehka's roller skating talents, and Turks's over-zealous paddling techniques. The week was culminated by a traditional gungy initiation.

The Second Floor Gentlemen's Club saluted Hawaii with a major bash. Denise and Colleen were overheard saying, "Boy oh, Boy, this punch is awfully weak." The main casualty of the evening, were Sandies "Soma" speakers.

Huntington welcomed the alumni at the annual TREK weekend celebrations. A large conglomerate of alumni made the weekend a rousing success.

Scouter and Father Tom waged an awesome battle in the pie eating contest. One of the lessons learned from the weekend was, "Never go canoeing with Bryne and Flipper or Don and John".

Upcoming events include a Hager road trip, Christmas Formal, and the ever popular FOOEY BOOEY day.

by the **Hawk**

GRADUATION PHOTOS

Arrangements for the Portrait Sittings are as Follows:

1. You must have an appointment. Make yours by contacting Love Unlimited Studios (566-9595) or S.G.A. (673-3647).

2. A sitting fee of $10 will be required at the time of your sitting.

3. The sittings will be held from 12 noon — 9 p.m., Thursday and Friday, Nov. 10th and 11th, at the small dining room, (Conference Room 'A' in the Great Hall Lobby).

4. Minimum of four to six poses (and one B&W portrait for the Year Book at "No Charge") will be taken of each student.

5. Gowns, Make-up, Flowers, etc., will be provided for your convenience. Your hair should be the way you want it in your finished portrait. May we also suggest that you wear a white collar blouse (shirt) and a tie if you wish.

6. On Wednesday Nov. 30, 1983 between 12-5 p.m. we will return to the same location with your previews. An additional deposit of $15 is required with your order when the previews are picked up.

7. To be in the yearbook you must have a B&W picture taken at no charge.

Note: If you are unable to have your sitting on campus please contact Love Unlimited Studios (566-9595) for a studio sitting. The same terms apply.

Stroker's Box

Well Strokers, we hope you have all survived our Bierfest weekend. Talk about a party, eh? Certain People should take the hint that U of S is quite capable of partying on its own, and has a heck of a boog time doing so.

Second Red's Calypso party was quite a success. La Forge was literally turned into "an orgy". It was great seeing all those spaghetti straps and luscious legs and... Congrats to the winners of the limbo contest, Dan Boulay and Gisele Carron. How low did they go?

The good old raid tradition has started to reinfiltrate our fortress on the hill. The RAMMs say "Yea, it's about time." 3rd Red was hit quite badly one night—remember Gilbert, never confess!! It's not good for your health!

It's time to recognize our new fall love birds. They are Steve & Jo, Froggy & R.J., Trevor & Louise, Dan & Monique, (P.L. & MP.?* D.C. & G.D.?, nudge, nudge, wink wink, say no more, say no more). Isn't love grand?

Well, the hockey boards are already up outside, and the floors are already planning tactics and making predictions. Why, 1st Blue has even been practicing frantically. What's the matter, are you scared?

The RAMMs would like to extend a hearty U of S welcome to new-comers John Vakkuri and Jean Brun, and to our returning Nicole Sabourin. Welcome aboard.

A little warning to all you new Strokers: Christmas Banquet is fast approaching. Guys, it's best to give a girl 2-3 weeks' notice. Shop around, if you haven't already started.

That's all the news from the big house on the hill where, men are men and sheep are nervous.

Your ever-loving RAMMs

THE U of BLUE
•EVERYTHING YOU EVER WANTED TO KNOW ABOUT LABATT'S BLUE•

Lesson #1 "The pour"

There are many theories regarding this particular facet of the Labatt's Blue mystique. The one we favour builds a beer head from the bottom. Start by keeping the glass upright and pouring down the middle until a head begins to form. Stop, let the foam build, then tilt the glass to a forty-five degree angle and continue to pour down the side. As the glass fills, bring it back to the upright position leaving a head about two fingers tall. The Labatt's Blue pour is always followed by the ever popular Labatt's Blue "unpour", an exercise in which many of you are already well-versed.



Lesson #1 from the College of Blue Knowledge

Graphic/Gateway

HOME—BREWING IT

[Con't from Front Page)

responsible for much of a beer's flavor. Both malt extract and yeast are available at wine or beermaking stores.

In the simplest method, beer is fermented, bottled and allowed to ferment a little more in the bottle before drinking.

Lagers, ales, pilsners and stouts are all made my changing the indredients, temperatures and times in the same age-old procedure. Lager, for instance, calls for a lighter malt and a type of yeast which settles to the bottom of the fermenter. Ales, on the other hand, are darker and use a top setting yeast, as well as hops.

The combinations and variations in beer ingredient are endless and complicated. Fortunately the equipment is not.

Over the matter of sugar, there is some disagreement among the rank and file of the underground brewers.

Frank Plastine, an Ottawa brewing supply store employee and an avid beermaker, swears that "you can get a much much better taste with corn sugar," although those who are less than purists will usually settle for good old 'white death' at half the price. Brown sugar also works and will impart slightly more taste to the beer.

about to plunge headlong into home brewing: in beermaking cleanliness is godliness—no kidding. Every piece of equipment must be cleaned and sterilized before using; otherwise you risk contaminating that bubbling batch of Labatt's Neo-Classic. Chlorine bleach works as well as anything for cleaning, although there are proper agents sold at the brewing supply outlets.

One persistent myth about homebrewed beer is that it is always ridiculously strong. Not necessarily so. While you can make very strong beer by jacking up the sugar content, the resulting taste of anything over about seven per cent of alcohol is very nearly always unpalatable—if fun at parties. Steve Herman, another Carleton student who makes beer, concedes grudgingly that his 10 per cent brew is a bit 'winey' tasting.

My own home brew isn't likely to take over the market, but it is drinkable and I haven't lost any friends since I started serving it. The second bottle always tastes better than the first, and from there on...well, that's another story.

Braumeister Laurie's Guide to Home Brewing

Brewing beer is a highly developed skill, honed by the master swillers throughout the ages. While the following recipe isn't exact, those master brewers would recognize it. The minimal starting equipment needed and the work involved can provide the amateur beer maker and his or her fellow consumers with many hours of drinking pleasure.

Here's what you need to get started on your own batch of "**Lowlife**":

☐ a plastic garbage can big enough to hold 10 gallons—or a can made of 'food grade' plastic if you can find it.
☐ about six feet of clear plastic tubing for siphoning the beer.
☐ a large spoon, preferably wooden and one not used for anything else (because of possible contamination).
☐ bottle caps and a capper, the latter worth spending about $18 on.
☐ a liquid thermometer, worth about $5.
☐ a hydrometer, for checking fermentation, worth about$8.
☐ empty beer bottles. (I made the mistake once of buying two cases o 'morning-after-the-party' empties from the 18-year-old next door, only to find most of them harboring a vile mixture of soggy cigarette butts and backwash. Suffice it so say that the best way to procure clean empties is to rinse out your own as they're downed.)

Total cost for all equipment: about $45.

Total cost for all ingredients necessary to make about four gallons: $9.

2. Add tap water, up to about four gallons. I usually run it hot directly out of the shower head to aerate the water.

Here is a simple, step-by-step recipe to make four gallons of beer:

1. Prepare your wort. This is as simple as filling your fermenter with a gallon of hot water, mix it in three pounds of sugar and a two-pound can of malt extract. A reading of the wort with the hydrometer should show a specific gravity (SG) of be-between 1.035 and 1.042.

3. Get the yeast ready. Fill the empty extract can half-full with wort, add the contents of the package of yeast and let it bubble for awhile before adding it to the rest of the batch.

4. Fermentation. Cover the fermenter and leave it in a place with a temperature between 50 and 70F for about a week.

5. Bottling. When the specific gravity is down to 1.004 or so, the beer is ready to be bottled. Use the plastic tubing to siphon the beer into the bottles, and before capping add a half-teaspoon of sugar to each pint bottle. Or alternatively, add the equivalent of that—say 6 to 8 ounces—to the fermenter itself just before bottling.

6. Aging. The bottles should sit in a cool, dark place for at least two weeks—preferably longer—before tasting.

Don't be dismayed to find sediment in the bottom of a bottle when you open it: the sediment is a normal by-product of fermentation. For this reason, quart bottles make more sense than pints—since you will always lost the bottom half-inch to sediment, you're better off losing it to a quart.

It is possible to get rid of some of the sediment by putting your beer through a second fermentation before bottling; however some, like Frank Plastine, say secondary fermentation is "not worth the bother" for the beginning home brewer.